

OASIS Functional Agreement “Buckets” (2/12/07)

The “reliability services” to be provided under the CG Developmental and Staffing Functional Agreement can be sorted a variety of ways. The types (or buckets) of services are set out in the following outline. These services can be further divided into services that (i) will be provided by ColumbiaGrid or (ii) may be provided. Finally, the nature of these services are either (a) developmental (subject to subsequent mutual acceptance) or (b) operational (already agreement for CG to implement mechanism, but CG mechanism must be mutually acceptable).

The areas where there are still open issues and the Legal Drafting Team needs further input are italicized.

I.

Services That Will Be Provided

A. Common OASIS, Practices, and Tariff Provisions

1. ColumbiaGrid develops, implements, and administers a common OASIS interface acceptable to and among participating TSPs; *provided* nothing in this Agreement requires any TOPP to have an OATT or an OASIS site [operational product]
 - a. Questions/Drafting Notes of Legal Drafting Team:
 - i. Withdrawal provision – lay over budget increase withdrawal to OASIS; add ability to withdraw for compliance issue
 - ii. *Policy input needed (tax implications info needed too) How would ColumbiaGrid handle tax implications under the Functional Agreement (where paying for employee to develop) – versus tax implications of selling service (OASIS) through participant agreement and, arguably, planning services)???* (*Implications for OASIS Parties and ColumbiaGrid*)(*will CG need to report on invoices certain category of services/products??*)
 - iii. NAESB standards – what are they and how do they affect this? [After adoption by FERC (which is anticipated), mandatory standards that will need to be accommodated; see Order 676]
 - iv. *FERC rules relating to OASIS [what can be charged; at what point do services become FERC jurisdictional? Currently confirming that FERC has not found OATi to be jurisdictional]*
 1. *Any FERC rules re fees when all that is being done is computer service which is site and source of OASIS commonly linked?*
 2. *Is there a difference when service provider does any tariff administration (what is the basis for those administrators being or continuing to be unregulated – will that be a trigger point for ColumbiaGrid to become jurisdictional?)*

- b. ColumbiaGrid Tasks:
 - i. Posting of business practices (not information)[operational product]
 - ii. Administration of schedules (ATC, tagging)[*further input needed from work group*]
 - iii. Tariff administration [*Developmental product*]
- 2. Establish a process and time frame for TSPs, along with TCs, to endeavor to:
 - a. Develop uniform business practices to the extent practicable
 - b. Provide transmission services and products with common attributes to the extent practicable
 - c. Develop common tariff terms and conditions for such services and products to be implemented through each transmission provider's tariff to the extent practicable

[operational product [[although OASIS Parties will be developing uniform practices and products]]]

B. Common ATC Methodology and Calculation

- 1. Development of a common methodology for determining transmission capacity and available transmission capacity ("ATC") on one or more Transmission Systems [*developmental product*]

[Input needed on process, options include:

- a. *Process – CG facilitates a consensual process – on those elements where there is not agreement CG makes a recommendation – what happens if someone doesn't agree with recommendations*
 - i. *that is the methodology and if party disagrees, they have to withdraw (a) from agreement (b) from provision,*
 - ii. *recommendation becomes binding if FERC orders it to be implemented,*
 - iii. *requires amendment to apply,*
 - iv. *if someone objects, delayed implementation of objected to provision or shadow run*
- b. *CG facilitates a process and the only elements that are implemented are consensus items*
- c. *CG makes recommendation and then get parties' agreement*

2. Perform common calculation of combined transmission capacity and combined ATC on one or more Transmission Systems and dispute resolution regarding such calculation? *[operational product]*
3. *[Input needed on whether CG will or may provide this service] Allocate combined transmission capacity and combined ATC of Transmission Systems between or among those Transmission Systems (develop methodology for such determination/dispute resolution process, other?)*
[[developmental/operational???]]

C. Common Queues

1. Common queues [developmental product (including parallel operation of common queue)/operational product when agreed to and added to 1 above]
 - a. *Repeat the language from PEFA or flesh out with more detail about what the common queue means?*

II.

Services That May Be Provided

[[After the first budget cycle pursuant to amendment of this Agreement or additional functional agreement]]

1. *ColumbiaGrid response to transmission requests [longer-term developmental product]*
2. *ColumbiaGrid products [longer-term developmental]*
3. *Tariff administration – implementation of recommendation*

[Do policy folks want to use the ITC approach here? Explore different options too?]